

Table of Contents

F PUBLIC PARTICIPATION F-1

F.1 PROJECT WEBSITE F-1

F.2 PUBLIC SCOPING F-1

 F.2.1 Public Scoping Comments F-2

 F.2.1.1 Air Quality F-2

 F.2.1.2 Alternatives F-2

 F.2.1.3 Biological Resources – Marine Mammals, Fish and Marine Habitat F-2

 F.2.1.4 Biological Resources—Onshore F-2

 F.2.1.5 Cultural Resources F-2

 F.2.1.6 Cumulative Impacts F-2

 F.2.1.7 Environmental Justice F-2

 F.2.1.8 Hazardous Materials F-3

 F.2.1.9 Health and Safety F-3

 F.2.1.10 Noise F-3

 F.2.1.11 Miscellaneous F-3

 F.2.1.12 Mitigation Measures F-3

 F.2.1.13 Policy/National Environmental Policy Act Process F-3

 F.2.1.14 Recreation F-3

 F.2.1.15 Socioeconomics F-3

 F.2.1.16 Sonar and Underwater Detonations F-3

 F.2.1.17 Water Resources F-3

 F.2.1.18 Summary of Comments F-4

 F.2.2 Public Scoping Notification F-4

 F.2.2.1 Federal Register Notice F-4

 F.2.2.2 Newspaper Display Advertisements F-5

 F.2.2.3 Scoping Notification Letters F-5

F.3 Public Review of the Draft EIS/OEIS F-8

 F.3.1 Public Hearings F-9

 F.3.2 Project Website F-9

 F.3.3 Public Notification F-9

 F.3.3.1 Federal Register Notice F-9

 F.3.3.2 Newspaper Display Advertisements F-9

 F.3.3.3 News Releases F-10

 F.3.3.4 Meeting Flyers F-10

 F.3.3.5 Stakeholder Letters F-11

List of Figures

There are no figures in this Section.

List of Tables

Table F-1: Public Scoping Comment Summary F-4

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

F Public Participation

This appendix includes information about the public's participation in the development of the Northwest Training Range Complex (NWTRC) Environmental Impact Statement/Overseas Environmental Impact Statement (EIS/OEIS). The first part of this appendix summarizes the public scoping process that began with the publication of the Notice of Intent (NOI) in the *Federal Register* in July 2007. The scoping period allowed a variety of opportunities for the public to comment on the scope of the EIS/OEIS, and included 5 public scoping meetings.

The second part of this appendix addresses the public's involvement in reviewing and commenting on the Draft EIS/OEIS. This section includes a summary of the Navy's public involvement efforts, including information about public hearings, media advertisements and notifications, letters to stakeholders, and meeting flyers. As part of this phase of public involvement, the Navy received comments to the Draft EIS/OEIS from individuals, agencies, elected officials, organizations, and tribes. These comments, and the Navy's response to them are addressed in Appendix G.

F.1 PROJECT WEBSITE

A public website was established specifically for this project, <http://www.nwtrangecomplexeis.com/>. This website address was published in the initial Notice of Intent and has subsequently been re-printed in all newspaper advertisements, agency letters, and public postcards for both the Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environment Impact Statement and Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The Draft EIS/OEIS, Scoping Meeting Fact Sheets, and various other materials have been available on the project website throughout the course of the project.

F.2 PUBLIC SCOPING

The public involvement process began with the issuance of the Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement in the *Federal Register* on July 31, 2007. This notice included a project description and scoping meeting dates and locations. The scoping period lasted 60 days, concluding on September 29, 2007. Section F.2.2 describes the Navy's notification efforts during scoping.

Five scoping meetings were held on September 10, 11, 12, 13, and 15 in the cities of: Oak Harbor, WA; Pacific Beach, WA; Grays Harbor, WA; Depoe Bay, OR; and Eureka, CA respectively. The scoping meetings were held in an open house format, presenting informational posters and written information and making Navy staff and project experts available to answer participants' questions. Additionally, a tape recorder was available to record participants' oral comments. The interaction during the information sessions was productive and helpful to the Navy.

Scoping participants could submit comments in five ways:

- Oral statements at the public meetings (as recorded by the tape recorder);
- Written comments at the public meetings;
- Written letters (received any time during the public comment period);
- Electronic mail (received any time during the public comment period); and
- Comments submitted directly on the project website (received any time during the public comment period).

F.2.1 Public Scoping Comments

In total, the Navy received comments from 50 individuals and groups. Because many of the comments addressed more than one issue, 191 total comments resulted. This summary provides an overview of comments received through these means during the scoping period. Comments are organized by issue area.

F.2.1.1 Air Quality

Comments in this category expressed concern about the effects of military activities on air quality, including off-shore emissions that may be transmitted ashore by onshore winds. The EIS/OEIS should discuss which areas are in nonattainment of National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

F.2.1.2 Alternatives

Most comments regarding alternatives suggested that the Navy consider other sites to conduct its activities. Several comments expressed concern over potential impacts to the Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary (OCNMS).

F.2.1.3 Biological Resources – Marine Mammals, Fish and Marine Habitat

A significant number of comments received expressed concerns about impacts to marine life. Many of these comments specifically related to concerns about the effect of Navy sonar on marine life, such as marine mammals, fish, sea turtles, and sea invertebrates. Participants frequently requested that the EIS/OEIS consider alternative technologies to mid-frequency active (MFA) sonar. Several comments addressed protective and mitigation measures for marine mammals when sonar is used. Other comments identified specific policies that must be considered in the Navy's analysis, such as the Marine Mammal Protection Act.

F.2.1.4 Biological Resources—Onshore

Several comments addressed the protection of birds, including shorebirds, seabirds, and migratory birds. Potential stressors to birds mentioned in the comments included bird strikes and noise disturbance. Among other terrestrial issues mentioned were concerns about habitat fragmentation and potential damage to intertidal, inland, or upland resources.

F.2.1.5 Cultural Resources

Participants commenting on cultural resources were primarily concerned with impacts to tribal access, and recreational and subsistence fishing. A few comments also addressed the issue of potential damage to historically or culturally significant sites.

F.2.1.6 Cumulative Impacts

Comments in this category expressed concern about the overall impact of past and present military activity in the Pacific Northwest and requested that the Navy initiate cleanup activities. Specific mention was made of the cumulative nature of activities at Naval Magazine Indian Island and the Naval Undersea Warfare Center Keyport Range. Additional comments requested that the Navy study the impacts of other actions, such as placement of wave electrical generation equipment, wind generators on Bear Ridge, and activities at Coast Guard Station Humboldt Bay and Eureka/Arcata airport.

F.2.1.7 Environmental Justice

Commenters requested that the EIS/OEIS identify any disproportionate impacts to disempowered groups of people.

F.2.1.8 Hazardous Materials

Of the comments regarding hazardous materials, the primary concern was the effects of depleted uranium use on the environment in general.

F.2.1.9 Health and Safety

One comment expressed concern about safety implications to commercial and recreational divers from MFA sonar. Another commenter was concerned about potential increases in aviation mishaps with increased unmanned aerial system use.

F.2.1.10 Noise

Several commenters expressed concern about any increase in airborne noise that could result from increased aircraft activity or offshore gun or bomb training.

F.2.1.11 Miscellaneous

Comments were received that requested that the EIS/OEIS consider the protection of surfing waves and for analysis of impact to research activities.

F.2.1.12 Mitigation Measures

Most comments regarding mitigation measures focused on marine mammals. For example, it was requested that the Navy employ better protective measures in future sonar exercises, such as conducting more monitoring and enforcing larger safety zones around ships. Several comments mentioned special mitigation measures in and around the OCNMS.

F.2.1.13 Policy/National Environmental Policy Act Process

Comments on the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process included several that felt the information available during scoping was not adequate enough to generate comments. One commenter requested that the scoping period be extended beyond 60 days and that another scoping meeting be held in Seattle.

F.2.1.14 Recreation

One comment expressed concern about closing navigable waters for military activities. Such closures would negatively impact recreational fishing, boating and diving.

F.2.1.15 Socioeconomics

Several comments regarding socioeconomic concerns included questions about the effects on commercial shipping, commercial diving and commercial fishing.

F.2.1.16 Sonar and Underwater Detonations

Many comments mentioned concerns about the effect of Navy sonar on marine life, such as marine mammals, fish, sea turtles, and sea invertebrates. Participants frequently requested that the EIS/OEIS consider alternative technologies to MFA sonar. Several comments addressed protective and mitigation measures for marine mammals when sonar is used. Three comments specifically mentioned concerns about underwater detonations and their potential impact to the marine environment.

F.2.1.17 Water Resources

Comments regarding water resources included general concerns about the potential for water quality to be affected by military activities.

F.2.1.18 Summary of Comments

Table F-1 provides a breakdown of areas of concern based on comments received during scoping.

Table F-1: Public Scoping Comment Summary

Resource Area	Count	Percent of Total
Biological Resources - Marine Mammals	23	12.04%
Biological Resources - Fish & Marine Habitat	17	8.90%
Sonar Underwater Detonations	16	8.38%
Policy/NEPA	14	7.33%
Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary	12	6.28%
Other Navy EIS Studies and Unrelated Activities	12	6.28%
Water Resources	11	5.76%
Recreation	9	4.71%
Socioeconomics	9	4.71%
Cultural Resources	8	4.19%
Cumulative Impacts	7	3.66%
Health and Safety	7	3.66%
Threatened and Endangered Species	7	3.66%
Biological Resources - Onshore	6	3.14%
Mitigation	6	3.14%
Proposed Action	6	3.14%
Alternatives	5	2.62%
Noise	5	2.62%
Hazardous Materials / Hazardous Waste	4	2.09%
Miscellaneous	4	2.09%
Air Quality	2	1.05%
Environmental Justice	1	0.52%
TOTAL	191	

F.2.2 Public Scoping Notification

The Navy made significant efforts at notifying the public to ensure maximum public participation during the scoping process. A summary of these efforts follows.

F.2.2.1 Federal Register Notice

A Notice of Intent and Notice of Public Scoping Meetings was published in the *Federal Register* on July 31, 2007.

F.2.2.2 Newspaper Display Advertisements

Advertisements were made to announce the scoping meetings in the following newspapers on the dates indicated below:

Washington

Seattle Times

Thursday, August 2, 2007
 Saturday, September 8, 2007
 Sunday, September 9, 2007
 Monday, September 10, 2007

Whidbey News-Times

Saturday, August 4, 2007
 Wednesday, September 5, 2007
 Saturday, September 8, 2007

Peninsula Daily (both Port Townsend and Port Angeles editions)

Thursday, August 2, 2007
 Saturday, September 8, 2007
 Sunday, September 9, 2007
 Monday, September 10, 2007

Daily World

Thursday, August 2, 2007
 Sunday, September 9, 2007
 Monday, September 10, 2007
 Tuesday, September 11, 2007
 Wednesday, September 12, 2007

Oregon

The News Guard

Wednesday, August 8, 2007
 Wednesday, September 15, 2007
 Wednesday, September 12, 2007

California

Times-Standard

Thursday, August 2, 2007
 Thursday, September 13, 2007
 Friday, September 14, 2007
 Saturday, September 15, 2007

F.2.2.3 Scoping Notification Letters

Notice of Intent/Notice of Scoping Meeting Letters were distributed on July 31, 2007 and included the notice of intent to prepare EIS/OEIS and notification of scoping meetings. Recipients included:

Tribes and Nations

Washington:

- Hoh Indian Nation
- Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe
- Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe
- Lummi Nation
- Makah Tribe
- Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission
- Point No Point Treaty Council
- Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe
- Quileute Tribal Council
- Quinalt Indian Nation
- Samish Indian Nation
- Sauk – Suiattle Tribe
- Shoalwater Bay Tribe
- Skagit River Cooperative
- Skokomish Tribal Nation
- Snoqualmie Indian Tribe
- Stillaguamish Tribe
- Suquamish Tribal Center

- Swinomish Indian Tribal Community
- Tulalip Tribes of Washington
- Upper Skagit Tribe

Oregon:

- Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw Indians
- Confederated Tribes of Grande Ronde
- Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians
- Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation
- Coquille Indian
- Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians
- Klamath Tribes (Klamath, Modoc, Yahooskin)

California:

- Tolowa Nation
- Trinidad Rancheria
- Yurok Indian Reservation

Elected Officials

Washington:

- U.S. Representative, Washington District 1
- U.S. Representative, Washington District 2
- U.S. Representative, Washington District 3
- U.S. Representative, Washington District 5
- U.S. Representative, Washington District 6
- U.S. Representative, Washington District 7
- U.S. Representative, Washington District 8
- U.S. Representative, Washington District 9
- U.S. Senator, Washington
- U.S. Senator, Washington
- Office of the Governor
- Representative, Position 2, Washington District 7
- State Senator, Washington District 7
- Representative, Position 1, Washington District 7
- Representative, Position 2, Washington District 10
- State Senator, Washington District 10
- State Senator, Washington District 10
- Representative, Position 1, Washington District 10
- Representative, Position 2, Washington District 19
- State Senator, Washington District 19
- Representative, Position 1, Washington District 19
- State Senator, Washington District 24
- State Senator, Washington District 24
- Representative, Position 2, Washington District 24
- Representative, Position 1, Washington District 24
- Board of Island County Commissioners
- Clallam County Board of Commissioners
- County of Grays Harbor

- Ferry County Commissioners
- Grays Harbor County Board of Commissioners
- Jefferson County Board of Commissioners
- Kitsap County Board of Commissioners' Office
- Okanogan County Commissioners' Office
- Pacific County Board of Commissioners' Office
- Pend Oreille County Commissioners' Office

Oregon:

- U.S. Representative, Oregon District 2
- U.S. Representative, Oregon District 4
- U.S. Representative, Oregon District 5
- U.S. Senator, Oregon
- U.S. Senator, Oregon
- Office of the Governor
- State Representative, Oregon District 1
- State Representative, Oregon District 9
- State Representative, Oregon District 57
- State Senator, Oregon District 1
- State Senator, Oregon District 5
- State Senator, Oregon District 29

California:

- U.S. Representative, California District 1
- U.S. Senator, California
- U.S. Senator, California
- Office of the Governor
- State Representative, California Assembly District 1
- State Senator, California District 2
- State Senator, California District 4

Federal Regulatory and Government Agencies

- Federal Aviation Administration
 - Washington D.C. headquarters
 - Western Pacific Region Military Liaison
- Marine Mammal Commission
- National Marine Fisheries Service
 - Washington D. C. headquarters
 - Northwest Regional Office
 - Office of Protected Resources
- Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary and Advisory Council
- Pacific Fisheries Management Council
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Northwestern Division
- U.S. Army National Guard (Boardman, OR)
- U.S. Coast Guard
 - Headquarters NEPA Office
 - District 13
- U.S. Department of the Interior
 - Bureau of Indian Affairs

- Bureau of Land Management
- Environmental Policy & Compliance Department
- Minerals Management Service
- National Park Service, Olympic National Park
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Pacific Region - Portland Office
- U.S. Geological Survey, Western Region
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 - Washington D.C. headquarters
 - Region X
- U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region

State Regulatory and Government Agencies

Washington:

- Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission
- Puget Sound Partnership
- WA State Department of Agriculture
- WA State Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation (SHPO)
- WA State Department of Ecology, Environmental Review Section
- WA State Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 6
- WA Fish and Wildlife Commission
- WA State Department of Natural Resources
- WA State Ocean Policy Work Group
- WA State Parks and Recreation Commission

Oregon:

- OR Department of Environmental Quality
- OR Department of Fish and Wildlife
- OR Department of Forestry
- OR Department of Land Conservation and Development
- OR Department of Parks and Recreation
- OR Department of State Lands
- OR Military Department
- OR Water Resources Department
- Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

California:

- California Coastal Commission
- California Department of Fish and Game
- California Environmental Protection Agency
- California Resources Agency

F.3 PUBLIC REVIEW OF THE DRAFT EIS/OEIS

On December 29, 2008, the Navy published a Notice of Availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Overseas Environmental Impact Statement in the *Federal Register*. This notice announced the availability of the Draft EIS/OEIS for public review. In addition to availability for viewing and downloading on the project website, CD copies and hardcopy sets were delivered to stakeholders as described in Section F.3.3.5. A news release was also issued and two media briefings were conducted to inform the public of the impending Notice publication. The Notice of Availability was the start of the public comment period for the Draft EIS/OEIS. The public comment period ended on April 13, 2009.

F.3.1 Public Hearings

During the public comment period the Navy held public hearings to present information from the EIS/OEIS and to solicit public comments. Because the Navy's proposed activities would continue to be concentrated in or off the coast of Washington, three of the five scheduled hearings were held in Washington. Some proposed activities could occur off the coast of Oregon and Northern California, so a public hearing was scheduled in each of those states. Due to a request in February 2009 from the Oregon Congressional Delegation, a sixth public hearing was added, in Tillamook, Oregon.

Public hearings were held on the following dates and locations: Jan. 27, 2009-Oak Harbor, WA; Jan. 28, 2009-Pacific Beach, WA; Jan. 29, 2009-Aberdeen, WA; Jan. 30, 2009-Newport, OR; Feb 2, 2009-Eureka, CA; and February 26, 2009-Tillamook, OR. Staffed poster stations with detailed information about the project and the Draft EIS/OEIS results were open for each meeting from 5:00 to 7:00 PM. During this time, Navy experts were available to answer questions and receive comments from members of the public. At 7 PM during each meeting a more formal, structured public hearing began in which the Navy presented a briefing on the Draft EIS/OEIS and the study conclusions. Following that presentation individuals provided oral comments. All oral comments were captured by a court reporter and have been reproduced later in this appendix. In addition to oral comments, the Navy received written comments during these hearings.

F.3.2 Project Website

The Navy provided a public website that has been active since the NOI was published in July 2007. On the day of the public release of the Draft EIS/OEIS, this website made available an electronic (PDF) version of the Draft EIS/OEIS for download and review. A comment form could be downloaded from the website to allow the public to submit written comments. The website also provided a paperless capability for members of the public to enter a comment directly.

F.3.3 Public Notification

The Navy made significant efforts at notifying the public to ensure maximum public participation during the scoping process. A summary of these efforts follows.

F.3.3.1 Federal Register Notice

A Notice of Availability was published in the *Federal Register* on December 29, 2008. A Notice of Public Hearings was published the next day, December 30, 2008, also in the *Federal Register*. The Notice of Public Hearings announced a 45-day comment period scheduled to end on February 11, 2009. Following public requests that the comment period be extended, the Navy agreed and extended the period three times, ultimately providing a 105-day comment period that ended on April 13, 2009. The three extensions were published in the *Federal Register* on February 11, February 25, and March 18, 2009.

F.3.3.2 Newspaper Display Advertisements

Advertisements were made to announce the availability of the Draft EIS/OEIS and to announce the schedule and locations for public hearings as follows:

Washington*Seattle Times*

Tuesday, December 30, 2008

Thursday, January 15, 2009

Friday, January 23, 2009

Monday, January 26, 2009

Tuesday, January 27, 2009

Whidbey News-Times

Wednesday, December 31, 2009

Saturday, January 17, 2009

Saturday, January 24, 2009

Peninsula Daily (both Port Townsend and Port Angeles editions)

Tuesday, December 30, 2008

Thursday, January 15, 2009

Friday, January 23, 2009

Monday, January 26, 2009

Tuesday, January 27, 2009

Daily World

Tuesday, December 30, 2008

Friday, January 16, 2009

Monday, January 26, 2009

Tuesday, January 27, 2009

Wednesday, January 28, 2009

Thursday, January 29, 2009

Oregon*Newport News-Times*

Wednesday, December 31, 2009

Friday, January 16, 2009

Wednesday, January 21, 2009

Friday, January 23, 2009

Wednesday, January 28, 2009

California*Times-Standard*

Tuesday, December 30, 2008

Thursday, January 22, 2009

Friday, January 30, 2009

Saturday, January 31, 2009

Monday, February 2, 2009

F.3.3.3 News Releases

News releases were disseminated on Dec 29, Jan 11 and Jan 23. The following national and State media outlets received the news releases:

National

Associated Press

Washington*Seattle Post-Intelligencer**Seattle Times**Whidbey News-Times**Peninsula Daily News**North Kitsap Herald**Port Townsend Leader**South Whidbey Record**The News-Tribune**San Juan Journal**The Daily Herald**Puget Sound Business Journal**Kitsap Peninsula Business Journal**Seattle Press On Line*

KING 5 TV

KIRO TV

KOMO 4 TV

KCPQ Q13 Fox TV

Northwest Cable News

Oregon*Newport News-Times*California*North Coast Journal**Times-Standard***F.3.3.4 Meeting Flyers**

Public hearing flyers were distributed to advertise the public meetings to local community centers and/or areas frequented by the public.

Oak Harbor, Washington

Oak Harbor Senior Center
Boys and Girls Club of Oak Harbor
U.S. Post Office
Oak Harbor Library

Pacific Beach, Washington

U.S. Post Office
North Beach Business Association (NBBA)

Grays Harbor, Washington

Grays Harbor Chamber of Commerce
Aberdeen Timberland Library
The Salvation Army Corps Community Center,
Aberdeen
U.S. Post Office Aberdeen

Newport, Oregon

Newport Chamber of Commerce
U.S. Post Office, Newport
Newport Public Library
Oregon Coast History Center

Eureka, California

U.S. Post Office, Eureka (Walnut Drive)
Humboldt County Library
Trinidad Branch Library
Adorni Recreation Center
Salvation Army Corps Community Center (sent
back returned mail)

F.3.3.5 Stakeholder Letters

DEIS Distribution/Public Hearings Letters were distributed Dec 29 and included the notification of public hearings and notice of availability of Draft EIS/OEIS (CD or hard copy of EIS included).

Following is a list of public officials, government agencies, Native American Tribes and Nations, organizations, and individuals who attended the public scoping meetings, provided comments during the scoping process, or have been identified by the Navy to be on the distribution list for the Northwest Training Range Complex Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS).

Federal and state regulatory agencies and project information repositories (noted below with an asterisk*) received both one (1) hard copy version and one (1) CD-ROM version of the Northwest Training Range Complex Draft EIS/OEIS. Stakeholders who specifically requested a hard copy version also received one, along with a CD-ROM version. All other stakeholders received one (1) CD-ROM version. Additional hard copies and/or CD-ROM versions of the Draft EIS/OEIS were made available when requested.

Information Repositories*

Jefferson County Rural Library	- Washington D.C. headquarters	- Northwestern Division
Kitsap Regional Library	- Western Pacific Region Military Liaison	U.S. Army National Guard (Boardman, OR)
Oak Harbor Public Library	Marine Mammal Commission	U.S. Coast Guard
Timberland Regional Library	National Marine Fisheries Service	- Headquarters NEPA Office
Port Townsend Public Library	- Washington D.C. headquarters	- District 13
Lincoln City Public Library	- Northwest Regional Office	U.S. Department of the Interior
Humboldt County Library	- Office of Protected Resources	- Bureau of Indian Affairs
Tillamook County Library	Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary	- Bureau of Land Management
Newport Public Library	Pacific Fisheries Management Council	- Environmental Policy & Compliance Department
Suislaw Public Library	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	- Minerals Management Service
		- National Park Service, Olympic National Park

Federal Regulatory Agencies*

Federal Aviation Administration

- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Pacific Region – Portland Office	OR Water Resources Department	Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians
- U.S. Geological Survey, Western Region	CA Coastal Commission	Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	- Headquarters	Coquille Indian
- Washington D.C. headquarters	- North Coast District	Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians
- Region X	CA Department of Fish and Game	Klamath Tribes (Klamath, Modoc, Yahooskin)
U.S. Forest Service	CA Environmental Protection Agency	<u>California</u>
- Pacific Northwest Region	CA Resources Agency	Tolowa Nation
<u>State Regulatory Agencies*</u>	<u>Native American Tribes and Nations*</u>	Trinidad Rancheria
WA State Department of Agriculture	<u>Washington</u>	Yurok Indian Reservation
WA State Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation (SHPO)	Hoh Indian Nation	<u>Federal Elected Officials</u>
WA State Department of Ecology, Environmental Review Section	Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe	U.S. Representative Hon. Jay Inslee WA District 1
WA State Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 6	Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe	U.S. Representative Hon. Rick Larsen WA District 2
WA Fish and Wildlife Commission	Lummi Nation	U.S. Representative Hon. Brian Baird WA District 3
WA State Department of Natural Resources	Makah Tribe	U.S. Representative Hon. Cathy McMorris Rodgers WA District 5
WA State Ocean Policy Work Group	Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission	U.S. Representative Hon. Norm Dicks WA District 6
WA State Parks and Recreation Commission	Point No Point Treaty Council	U.S. Representative Hon. Jim McDermott WA District 7
Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission	Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe	U.S. Representative Hon. Dave Reichert WA District 8
Puget Sound Partnership	Quileute Tribal Council	U.S. Representative Hon. Adam Smith WA District 9
OR Department of Environmental Quality	Quinalt Indian Nation	U.S. Representative Hon. Greg Walden OR District 2
OR Department of Fish and Wildlife	Samish Indian Nation	U.S. Representative Hon. Peter DeFazio OR District 4
OR Department of Forestry	Sauk – Suiattle Tribe	U.S. Representative Hon. Darlene Hooley OR District 5
OR Department of Land Conservation and Development	Shoalwater Bay Tribe	
OR Department of Parks and Recreation	Skagit River Cooperative	
OR Department of State Lands	Skokomish Tribal Nation	
OR Military Department	Snoqualmie Indian Tribe	
	Stillaguamish Tribe	
	Suquamish Tribal Center	
	Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	
	Tulalip Tribes of Washington	
	Upper Skagit Tribe	
	<u>Oregon</u>	
	Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw Indians	
	Confederated Tribes of Grande Ronde	

U.S. Representative
Hon. Mike Thompson
CA District 1

U.S. Senator
Hon. Maria Cantwell, WA

U.S. Senator
Hon. Patty Murray, WA

U.S. Senator
Hon. Gordon Smith, OR

U.S. Senator
Hon. Ronald Wyden, OR

U.S. Senator
Hon. Barbara Boxer, CA

U.S. Senator
Hon. Dianne Feinstein, CA

State Elected Officials

Governor of Washington
Hon. Christine Gregoire

Washington State Senator
Hon. Bob Morton, WA District
7

Washington State Senator
Hon. Mary Margaret Haugen,
WA District 10

Washington State Senator
Hon. Brian Hatfield, WA
District 19

Washington State Senator
Hon. James Hargrove, WA
District 24

Washington State
Representative
Hon. Bob Sump, WA District 7,
Position 1

Washington State
Representative
Hon. Joel Kretz, WA District
7, Position 2

Washington State
Representative
Hon. Norma Smith, WA District
10, Position 1

Washington State
Representative
Hon. Barbara Bailey, WA
District 10, Position 2

Washington State
Representative
Hon. Dean Takko, WA District
19, Position 1

Washington State
Representative
Hon. Brian Blake, WA District
19, Position 2

Washington State
Representative
Hon. Kevin Van De Wege, WA
District 24, Position 1

Washington State
Representative
Hon. Lynn Kessler, WA District
24, Position 2

Governor of Oregon
Hon. Ted Kulongoski

Oregon State Senator
Hon. Jeff Kruse, OR
District 1

Oregon State Senator
Hon. Joanne Verger, OR
District 5

Oregon State Senator
Hon. David Nelson, OR
District 29

Oregon State Representative
Hon. Wayne Krieger, OR
District 1

Oregon State Representative
Hon. Arnie Roblan, OR District
9

Oregon State Representative
Hon. Greg Smith, OR District
57

Governor of California
Hon. Arnold Schwarzenegger

California State Senator
Hon. Pat Wiggins, CA District 2

California State Senator
Hon. Sam Aanestad, CA
District 4

California State Assembly
Hon. Patty Berg, CA
District 1

Local Elected Officials

City of Port Townsend
Hon. Michelle Sandoval
Mayor

City of Port Townsend
Hon. Mark Welch
City Councilmember

County of Grays Harbor
Hon. Al Carter
County Commissioner, District
3

Local Agencies

City of Port Townsend
Mr. David Timmons
City Manager

Depoe Bay Nearshore Action
Team
Mr. John O'Brien

Others

Olympic Coast National Marine
Sanctuary Advisory Council

Individuals

Doug Acmmmon
Aberdeen, WA

Gordon Anderson
Arcata, CA

Dr. David Bain
Friday Harbor, WA

Ben Baumgart
Ocean Shores, WA

Ken and Jenee Bearden
Aberdeen, WA

Peggy V. Beck
Port Angeles, WA

Paul Boring
Oak Harbor, WA

Ed Brewster
Aberdeen, WA

Ray L. Brown
Westport, WA

Jack Brown
Depoe Bay, OR

Stephanie Buffum Field
Friday Harbor, WA

Kelly Calhoun
Moclips, WA

Amy Carey Vashon, WA	Joseph C. Hague Aberdeen, WA	Patricia A. Milliren Port Angeles, WA
Kathleen Cleary Eureka, CA	Tim Hamblin Seattle, WA	Glen and Karol Milner Seattle, WA
Don Coleman Brinnon, WA	Jim Hatton Moclips, WA	Herb Montano Pacific Beach, WA
Nicole Cordon Portland, OR	David Helliwell Kneeland, CA	Doreen L. Moore Bow, WA
Susan L. Corran Olympia, WA	Brad Hoaré Lynnwood, WA	Michelle Myers Sedro Woolley, WA
F.V. Corregidor Kneeland, CA	John Holbert Brinnon, WA	Elena Nelon Lebanon, OR
John Crowley Trinidad, CA	Scott Jacobs Poulsbo, WA	S. Nelson Bayside, CA
Brendan Cummings Joshua Tree, CA	Kathy Jaquet Moclips, WA	John E. Nelson Quilcene, WA
Shari Curtright Moclips, WA	Michael Jasny Vancouver, B.C.	Janna Nichols Vancouver, WA
Jack Davis Moclips, WA	Ryan Kaufman Brinnon, WA	Pat Ohlsen Moclips, WA
Paul Deberdorff Moclips, WA	Kristin Kennell Quilcene, WA	Linda Orgel Aberdeen, WA
Joann DeGrasse Pacific Beach, WA	Jeff King Alameda, CA	Geoff Pentz Silverdale, WA
William Dunaway Port Townsend, WA	Jordan Kline Aberdeen, WA	Helen Peters Copalis Beach, WA
John Erak Aberdeen, WA	Katie Krueger Forks, WA	Gwen Pierce Sequim, WA
Fred Felleman Seattle, WA	Thea Lloyd Cosmopolis, WA	Patricia Porter Port Townsend, WA
Polly Fischer Anacortes, WA	Katy Lubbe Kirkland, WA	Pat Price Moclips, WA
Kathy Fletcher Seattle, WA	Lee Marriott Moclips, WA	Edison K. Putnam Olympia, WA
Gail Gage Bothell, WA	Brian Martin Coupeville, WA	Michael Dennis Racine Snoqualmie, WA
George Galasso Port Angeles, WA	Steve Mashuda Seattle, WA	S. Rangel Pacific Beach, WA
Connie Gallant Quilcene, WA	Ron and Vivian Matsen Pacific Beach, WA	Tom and Pam Rasmussen Pacific Beach, WA
Loren Goddard Depoe Bay, OR	Mac McDowell Coupeville, WA	Jan Robison Depoe Bay, OR
Marcy Golde Seattle, WA	Doug and Cathi McMurrin Pacific Beach, WA	G. Thomas Schafer Moclips, WA
Jennifer Hagen Forks, WA	Pamela Miller Arcata, CA	Len Schilling Oak Harbor, WA

James Schroeder
Seattle, WA

Cate Skinner
Pacific Beach, WA

Wayne and Cate Skinner
Copalis Beach, WA

Stan Stanley
Oak Harbor, WA

Will T. Stiner
Moclips, WA

Douglas Switzer
Renton, WA

Michael and Cheri Tacy
Moclips, WA

James R. Thiele
Hillsboro, OR

Amy Trainer
Friday Harbor, WA

Aneka and Wolter van
Doorninck
Copalis Beach, WA

Dr. Val Veirs
Colorado Springs, CO

Jowcol Vina
Seattle, WA

John Volz
Pacific Beach, WA

Peggy Willis
Seattle, WA

This Page Intentionally Left Blank