

Search Politics

Search input field with Go button



The 44th President The New Team | Election Results

More in Politics The Caucus | Video

Opinion Editorials | Columns

Advertisement: YOU'RE STILL USING EXCEL TO MANAGE COMMISSIONS? xactly Credit Here! Advertise on NYTimes.com

President's Detention Plan Tests American Legal Tradition

By WILLIAM GLABERSON Published: May 22, 2009

President Obama's proposal for a new legal system in which terrorism suspects could be held in "prolonged detention" inside the United States without trial would be a departure from the way this country sees itself, as a place where people in the grip of the government either face criminal charges or walk free.

Multimedia



Obama Sets 'New Direction' on Terror

There are, to be sure, already some legal tools that allow for the detention of those who pose danger: quarantine laws as well as court precedents permitting the confinement of sexual predators and the dangerous mentally ill. Every day in America, people are denied bail and locked up because they are found to be a hazard to their communities, though they have yet to be convicted of anything.

Still, the concept of preventive detention is at the very boundary of American law, and legal experts say any new plan for the imprisonment of terrorism suspects without trial would seem inevitably bound for the Supreme Court.

Mr. Obama has so far provided few details of his proposed system beyond saying it would be subject to oversight by Congress and the courts. Whether it would be constitutional, several of the legal experts said in interviews, would most likely depend on the fairness of any such review procedures.

Ultimately, they suggested, the question of constitutionality would involve a national look in the mirror: Is this what America does?

"We have these limited exceptions to the principle that we only hold people after conviction," said Michael C. Dorf, a constitutional law professor at Cornell. "But they are narrow exceptions, and we don't want to expand them because they make us uncomfortable."

In his speech on antiterrorism policy Thursday, Mr. Obama, emphasizing that he wanted fair procedures,

Related

- News Analysis: Obama Faces Pitfalls on Detainees (May 22, 2009)
In Area Packed With Prisons, a Split on Adding Jihadists (May 23, 2009)
Nuance Is a Fine Word Until It's Pronounced Flip-Flop (May 24, 2009)
Times Topics: Detainees | Habeas Corpus

Blog

The Caucus

The latest on President Obama, the new administration and other news from Washington and around the nation. Join the discussion.



More Politics News

More Articles in US »

Today's Headlines Daily E-Mail

Sign up for a roundup of the day's top stories, sent every morning. Sign Up button

Bank of America advertisement: Find out how we're helping set opportunity in motion in San Francisco. EXPLORE MORE

MOST POPULAR

- E-MAILED BLOGGED SEARCHED VIEWED
1. Op-Ed Contributors: The Sun Is the Best Optometrist
2. Personal Health: Explaining Sunscreen and the New Rules
3. Upending Anonymity, These Days the Web Unmasks Everyone
4. Books: A Feat of Engineering That Doubles as a Home
5. The Girl Who Cast a Viking Spell
6. Murder Rate and Fear Rise in Puerto Rico
7. In Tiny Worm, Unlocking Secrets of the Brain
8. Roger Cohen: The Great Greek Illusion
9. David Brooks: Smart Power Setback
10. Once Rare, Infection by Tick Bites Spreads

Go to Complete List »

**Readers' Comments**

Readers shared their thoughts on this article.  
[Read All Comments \(451\) »](#)

sought to distance himself from what critics of the Bush administration saw as its system of arbitrary detention.

"In our constitutional system," Mr. Obama said, "prolonged detention should not be the decision of any one man."

But Mr. Obama's critics say his proposal is Bush redux. Closing the prison at Guantánamo Bay, Cuba, and holding detainees domestically under a new system of preventive detention would simply "move Guantánamo to a new location and give it a new name," said [Michael Ratner](#), president of the Center for Constitutional Rights. Defense Secretary [Robert M. Gates](#) suggested this month that as many as 100 detainees might be held in the United States under such a system.

Mr. Obama chose to call his proposal "prolonged detention," which made it sound more reassuring than some of its more familiar names. In some countries, it is called "administrative detention," a designation with a slightly totalitarian ring. Some of its proponents call it "indefinite detention," which evokes the Bush administration's position that Guantánamo detainees could be held until the end of the war on terror — perhaps for the rest of their lives — even if acquitted in war crimes trials.

Mr. Obama's proposal was a sign of the sobering difficulties posed by the president's plan to close the Guantánamo prison by January. The prolonged detention option is necessary, he said, because there may be some detainees who cannot be tried but who pose a security threat.

These, he said, are prisoners who in effect remain at war with the United States, even after some seven years at Guantánamo. He listed as examples detainees who received extensive explosives training from [Al Qaeda](#), have sworn allegiance to [Osama bin Laden](#) or have otherwise made it clear that they want to kill Americans.

Other countries, including Israel and India, have had laws allowing indefinite detention of terrorism suspects, said Monica Hakimi, an assistant professor of law at the [University of Michigan](#) who has written about the subject. But, she said, few provide for essentially unending detention, and several European countries have restricted preventive detention to days or weeks.

Mr. Obama's proposal, Professor Hakimi said, appears to be "an aggressive approach that is not commonly taken in other Western developed countries."

In a letter to the president on Friday, Senator [Russ Feingold](#), Democrat of Wisconsin, said he was not sure Mr. Obama's idea would prove constitutional, and added that "such detention is a hallmark of abusive systems that we have historically criticized around the world."

Some critics of the Bush administration, who have become critics of Mr. Obama as well, have long said they are skeptical that there are detainees who are a demonstrable risk to the country but against whom the government can make no criminal case.

But some proponents of an indefinite detention system argue that Guantánamo's remaining 240 detainees include cold-blooded jihadists and perhaps some so warped by their experience in custody that no president would be willing to free them. And among them, the proponents say, are some who cannot be tried, in part for lack of evidence or because of tainted evidence.

Benjamin Wittes, a senior fellow at the [Brookings Institution](#), said Mr. Obama's proposal was contrary to the path his administration apparently hoped to take when he took office. But that was before he and his advisers had access to detailed information on the detainees, said Mr. Wittes, who in a book last year argued for an indefinite detention system.

**BECOME A DIGITAL SUBSCRIBER.**



**JUST 99¢ FOR 4 WEEKS.**

The New York Times [nytimes.com](#)

## ADVERTISEMENTS



**WHERE THE STORY COMES FIRST.**  
**BECOME A DIGITAL SUBSCRIBER.**  
**JUST 99¢ FOR 4 WEEKS ►** The New York Times

“This is the guy who has sworn an oath to protect the country,” he said, “and if you look at the question of how many people can you try and how many people are you terrified to release, you have to have some kind of detention authority.”

INSIDE NYTIMES.COM



Civil liberties lawyers say American criminal laws are written broadly enough to make it relatively easy to convict terrorism suspects. They say Mr. Obama has not made the case persuasively that there is a worrisome category of detainees who are too dangerous to release but who cannot be convicted. The reason to have a criminal justice system at all, they say, is to trust it to decide who is guilty and who is not.

“If they cannot be convicted, then you release them,” said Jameel Jaffer, a lawyer at the [American Civil Liberties Union](#). “That’s what it means to have a justice system.”

A version of this article appeared in print on May 23, 2009, on page A1 of the New York edition.

[More Articles in US »](#)



**The New York Times and the Bay Area -- save 50% on home delivery plus FREE All Digital Access.**

Ads by Google

what's this?

**The 88 San Jose Condos**

Luxury Hi-Rise Homes. Amenities of a Fine Hotel. See Floor Plans Here!  
[www.The88SJ.com/](http://www.The88SJ.com/)

**Past Coverage**

- [Obama Would Move Some Detainees to U.S. \(May 22, 2009\)](#)
- [Detainee to Be Transferred to U.S. for Trial \(May 22, 2009\)](#)
- [NEWS ANALYSIS: Obama Faces Pitfalls on Detainees \(May 22, 2009\)](#)
- [POLITICAL MEMO: A 'Freer' Cheney Makes Case \(With Dual Focus\) \(May 22, 2009\)](#)

**Related Searches**

- |  |                                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Obama, Barack</a>              | <a href="#">Get E-Mail Alerts</a> |
| <a href="#">Detainees</a>                  | <a href="#">Get E-Mail Alerts</a> |
| <a href="#">Constitutions</a>              | <a href="#">Get E-Mail Alerts</a> |
| <a href="#">Civil Rights and Liberties</a> | <a href="#">Get E-Mail Alerts</a> |

BOOKS »



[The Girl Who Cast a Viking Spell](#)

HEALTH »



[To Stretch or Not to Stretch](#)

DINING & WINE »



[City Kitchen: Cumin, Ginger and Sweet Peas](#)

OPINION »



[Errol Morris: Inventing E-Mail, Part Three](#)

U.S. »



[Indian Company Under Scrutiny Over U.S. Visas](#)

OPINION »



[Op-Ed: Wal-Mart's Authoritarian Culture](#)

[Home](#) | [World](#) | [U.S.](#) | [N.Y. / Region](#) | [Business](#) | [Technology](#) | [Science](#) | [Health](#) | [Sports](#) | [Opinion](#) | [Arts](#) | [Style](#) | [Travel](#) | [Jobs](#) | [Real Estate](#) | [Automobiles](#) | [Back to Top](#)

Copyright 2009 The New York Times Company | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Search](#) | [Corrections](#) | [RSS](#) | [First Look](#) | [Help](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Work for Us](#) | [Site Map](#)