

NYTimes.com

Go to a Section

Welcome, [75200](#) - [Membe](#)

SEARCH SPONSORED BY

SEARCH



NYT Since 1981 ▾

Search

▶ TimesSelect F

Bush Lets U.S. Spy on Callers Without Courts

Advertisement

Published: December 16, 2005

(Page 3 of 5)

Since 2002, the agency has been conducting some warrantless eavesdropping on people in the United States who are linked, even if indirectly, to suspected terrorists through the chain of phone numbers and e-mail addresses, according to several officials who know of the operation. Under the special program, the agency monitors their international communications, the officials said. The agency, for example, can target phone calls from someone in New York to someone in Afghanistan.

[E-Mail This](#)[Printer-Friendly](#)[Single-Page](#)[Reprints](#)[Save Article](#)

THREATS AND RESPONSES

[▶ GO TO COMPLETE COVERAGE](#)

Related

[A Half-Century of Surveillance](#)

(December 16, 2005)

[In the Blogs: Reaction to Relaxed Restrictions on Domestic Spying](#)

(December 16, 2005)

Readers' Opinions

[Forum: National Security](#)

Warrants are still required for eavesdropping on entirely domestic-to-domestic communications, those officials say, meaning that calls from that New Yorker to someone in California could not be monitored without first going to the Federal Intelligence Surveillance Court.

A White House Briefing

After the special program started, Congressional leaders from both political parties

were brought to Vice President [Dick Cheney's](#) office in the White House. The leaders, who included the chairmen and ranking members of the Senate and House intelligence committees, learned of the N.S.A. operation from Mr. Cheney, Lt. Gen. Michael V. Hayden of the Air Force, who was then the agency's director and is now a full general and the principal deputy director of national intelligence, and [George J. Tenet](#), then the director of the C.I.A., officials said.

It is not clear how much the members of Congress were told about the presidential order and the eavesdropping program. Some of them declined to comment about the matter, while others did not return phone calls.

Later briefings were held for members of Congress as they assumed leadership roles on the intelligence committees, officials familiar with the program said. After a 2003 briefing, Senator Rockefeller, the West Virginia Democrat who became vice chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee that year, wrote a letter to Mr. Cheney expressing concerns about the program, officials knowledgeable about the letter said. It could not be determined if he received a reply. Mr. Rockefeller declined to comment. Aside from the Congressional leaders, only a small group of people, including several cabinet members and officials at the N.S.A., the C.I.A. and the Justice Department, know of the program.

Some officials familiar with it say they consider warrantless eavesdropping inside the United States to be unlawful and possibly unconstitutional, amounting to an improper search. One government official involved in the operation said he privately complained to a Congressional official about his doubts about the program's legality. But nothing came of his inquiry. "People just looked the other way because they didn't want to know what was going on," he said.

A senior government official recalled that he was taken aback when he first learned of the operation. "My first reaction was, 'We're doing what?' " he said. While he said

he eventually felt that adequate safeguards were put in place, he added that questions about the program's legitimacy were understandable.

Some of those who object to the operation argue that is unnecessary. By getting warrants through the foreign intelligence court, the N.S.A. and F.B.I. could eavesdrop on people inside the United States who might be tied to terrorist groups without skirting longstanding rules, they say.

The standard of proof required to obtain a warrant from the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court is generally considered lower than that required for a criminal warrant - intelligence officials only have to show probable cause that someone may be "an agent of a foreign power," which includes international terrorist groups - and the secret court has turned down only a small number of requests over the years. In 2004, according to the Justice Department, 1,754 warrants were approved. And the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court can grant emergency approval for wiretaps within hours, officials say.

Administration officials counter that they sometimes need to move more urgently, the officials said. Those involved in the program also said that the N.S.A.'s eavesdroppers might need to start monitoring large batches of numbers all at once, and that it would be impractical to seek permission from the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court first, according to the officials.

The N.S.A. domestic spying operation has stirred such controversy among some national security officials in part because of the agency's cautious culture and longstanding rules.

Widespread abuses - including eavesdropping on Vietnam War protesters and civil rights activists - by American intelligence agencies became public in the 1970's and led to passage of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, which imposed strict limits on intelligence gathering on American soil. Among other things, the law required

Most E-Mailed Articles

Past 24 Hours | [Past 7 Days](#)

1. [A Happy Hipster Hanukkah](#)
2. [Op-Ed Contributor: The Rock Star's Burden](#)
3. [Literacy Falls for Graduates From College, Te](#)
4. [David Pogue: Digital Photos Even a Miser Ca](#)
5. [Bush Lets U.S. Spy on Callers Without Courts](#)

[Go to Complete List](#)



Travel

nytimes.com/travel

[Where did stars vacation in the 1950's?](#)

Also in Travel:

- [Where did John Wayne supposedly keep a cow](#)
- [Where can you see Marilyn Monroe's red stilet](#)
- [Where did Frank Sinatra and Ava Gardner stay](#)

search warrants, approved by the secret F.I.S.A. court, for wiretaps in national security cases. The agency, deeply scarred by the scandals, adopted additional rules that all but ended domestic spying on its part.

[< PREVIOUS PAGE](#) | [1](#) | [2](#) | [3](#) | [4](#) | [5](#) | [NEXT PAGE >](#)

Barclay Walsh contributed research for this article.

[Next Article in Washington \(1 of 9\) >](#)



[Get Today's Headlines - a FREE e-mail from NYTimes.com](#)

RELATED ARTICLES

- [Big Brother Is Tracking You. Without a Warrant.](#) (May 18, 2003)
- [THREATS AND RESPONSES: PRIVACY; Going Electronic.](#)
[Denver Reveals Long-Term Surveillance](#) (December 21, 2002)
- [TRACES OF TERROR: CIVIL LIBERTIES; Echo of F.B.I. Abuses](#)
[In Queries on New Role](#) (June 13, 2002)
- [AFTER THE ATTACKS: CIVIL LIBERTIES; Some Foresee A Sea](#)
[Change In Attitudes On Freedoms](#) (September 15, 2001)

RELATED SEARCHES

[Surveillance of Citizens By Government](#) | [United States Politics and Government](#) | [Terrorism](#)

INSIDE NYTIMES.COM



[Movie Minutes: 'King Kong'](#)



[36 Hours in Houston](#)



[Ancient Battlefield Discovered](#)



[Rescuing the Met's Archives](#)



[A Conflict Over How to Rebuild](#)