

Indybay About SC-IMC Newsletter Calendar Publish Print

Regions

printable version - email this article

north coast central valley north bay east bay south bay san francisco peninsula santa cruz california US

Weather Modification (pro chemtrail biil) S517 Violates National Security

by John H. Marburger, III Sunday, Mar. 12, 2006 at 2:36 PM

In 1978, the United States became a party to an international treaty banning the use of weather modification and since has went underground and has been a part of their black operations.



200.jpgsm4htc.jpg, image/jpeg, 310x233

## **Topics**

international

animal lib anti-war arts + action drug war education en español environment globalization government health/housing

December 13, 2005

media labor

immigrant

The Honorable Kay Bailey Hutchison United States Senator lgbti / queer 284 Russell Senate Office Building police state

race womyn Washington, DC 20510

# International

americas haiti iraq palestine afghanistan Dear Senator Hutchison:

This letter is in response to S. 517, "the Weather Modification Research and Development Policy

Authorization Act of 2005," reported out by the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science

Transportation on November 17, 2005 (Senate Report No. 109-202). While the Administration

recognizes the Committee's interest in weather modification research and development, there is a

More

host of issues -- including liability, foreign policy, and national security concerns -- that arose inthe past and should be adequately considered before the U.S. Government undertakes the coordinated national research program this legislation would require. The Administration respectfully requests that you defer further consideration of the bill pending the outcome of an inter-agency discussion of these issues that the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) would coordinate - with the Department of Justice on legal issues, with the Department of State on foreign policy implications, with the Departments of Defense

get involved gallery archives torrents links chat

txtmob

make media

Search



and State on national security implications, and with pertinent research agencies to consider thereasons the U.S. Government previously halted its work in this area. At the conclusion of this review, the Administration would report back to you on the results of these discussions so you are fully apprised of all possible issues associated with authorizing a new Federal program on this topic.

Specifically, the Administration believes concerns in the following areas must be better

#### Freak Radio

FRSC live stream



#### **Fault Lines**

SF Bay Area IMC's newspaper

#### **IMC Space**

2940 16th Street Suite 216 SF, CA 94103 (415) 864-1006

### **Donate**

Help support grassroots independent media.



\$33.00 donated in past month

# **IMC Network**

indymedia.org

#### africa

ambazonia canarias estrecho / madiaq nigeria south africa

#### canada

hamilton maritimes montreal ontario ottawa quebec thunder bay vancouver victoria windsor winnipeg

#### east asia

burma jakarta japan manila ac

#### europe

alacant andorra antwerpen armenia athens austria barcelona belarus belgium belgrade bristol bulgaria croatia cyprus estrecho / madiaq euskal herria galiza germany

grenoble

hungary

understood:

- Local Political & Legal Ramifications
- o Because small scale weather modification (e.g., cloud seeding) may promote rain in one area to the detriment of another, weather modification could result in inter-state (including Indian Tribes) litigation or private citizen litigation against the modification programs.
- o The legal and liability issues pertaining to weather modification, and the potential adverse consequences on life, property, and water resource availability resulting from weather modification activities, must be considered fully before the U.S. Government could take responsibility for this new research program.
- International and Foreign Policy Implications
- o Small and large scale (e.g., hurricane) weather modification efforts could benefit the United States to the detriment of other countries (such as Canada or Mexico).
- o Given global weather patterns, whether one country "owns" its weather so as to assert intra-border control with extra-border consequences, must be considered under present international conventions.
- o The manner in which such a program could benefit or harm the present U.S. positions on foreign policy matters, such as global warming/climate change, should also be considered.
- National Security Implications
- o The U.S. Government's previous weather modification programs were part of our Cold War history; restarting them today could promote (possibly hostile) foreign responses.
- o In 1978, the United States became a party to an international treaty banning the use of weather modification for hostile purposes. While modification for peaceful purposes is allowed, whether well-intentioned programs could be considered "hostile" and perceived to violate this ban should be considered.
- Research Issues
- o The Department of Commerce's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) primary atmospheric and meteorological research focus is on improving weather forecasting, which has proven to save lives and property. NOAA abandoned weather modification activities some time ago in favor of other research areas that more directly relate to the agency's core mission and responsibilities.
- o Redirecting funding to focus on weather modification can shift funds away from other important programs such as research to improve weather forecasting capabilities for severe weather events and research to better understand climate variability and change.

In addition to discussing these concerns on an interagency basis, and in recognition of your interest in this area, OSTP would be willing to charter a study to address the above issues. This

study would be conducted by the Science and Technology Policy Institute (STPI), a federallychartered

research and development center that provides objective, technical advice to OSTP. The study would address the history and current status of weather modification research. Such a

study will help us understand the technical position of this field of science, the significance of

the issues discussed above, and the field's historical context.

The Administration requests that you not move forward with your legislative proposal until a

better understanding can be developed of the full range of possible implications.

Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison

December 13, 2005

Page 3

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John H. Marburger, III

Director

ireland istanbul italy la plana liege lille madrid malta marseille nantes netherlands nice

norway oost-vlaanderen paris/Île-de-france poland portugal romania russia scotland sverige switzerland thessaloniki toulouse united kingdom valencia

### latin america

west vlaanderen

argentina bolivia brasil chiapas chile chile sur colombia ecuador mexico peru puerto rico qollasuyu rosario santiago tijuana uruguay valparaiso

#### oceania

adelaide aotearoa brisbane burma darwin jakarta manila melbourne perth qc sydney

## south asia

india mumbai

## united states

arizona arkansas atlanta austin baltimore big muddy binghamton boston buffalo charlottesville chicago cleveland colorado danbury, ct

cc: The Honorable Ted Stevens

Co-Chair

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

The Honorable Daniel K. Inouye

Co-Chair

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

# add your comments

1

by John H. Marburger, III Sunday, Mar. 12, 2006 at 2:36 PM



201.jpg, image/jpeg, 445x412

## add your comments

## LATEST COMMENTS ABOUT THIS ARTICLE

Listed below are the 10 latest comments of 1 posted about this article. These comments are anonymously submitted by website visitors.

**AUTHOR** DATE

Los Angeles Weather Modification Protest M. Connelly Tuesday, Mar. 21, 2006 at 8:46 AM

dc hawaii houston hudson mohawk idaho ithaca kansas city la madison maine miami michigan milwaukee minneapolis/st. paul new hampshire new jersey new mexico new orleans north carolina north texas nyc oklahoma omaha philadelphia pittsburgh portland richmond rochester rogue valley saint louis san diego san francisco san francisco bay area santa barbara santa cruz, ca seattle tallahassee-red hills tampa bay tennessee urbana-champaign utah vermont western mass worcester

# west asia

armenia beirut israel palestine

#### process

discussion fbi/legal updates indymedia faq mailing lists process & imc docs tech volunteer

# projects

print radio satellite tv video

# regions

oceania united states

# **topics** biotech

® 2000–2006 San Francisco Bay Area Independent Media Center. Unless otherwise stated by the author, all content is free for **non-commercial** reuse, reprint, and rebroadcast, on the net and elsewhere. Opinions are those of the contributors and are not

necessarily endorsed by the SF Bay Area IMC. <u>Disclaimer</u> | <u>Privacy</u> | <u>Contact</u>